

THE CLASS OF p -DEMICOMPACT OPERATORS ON LATTICE NORMED SPACES

IMEN FERJANI AND BILEL KRICHEN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we introduce a new class of operators called p -demicompact operators between two lattice normed spaces X and Y . We study the basic properties of this class. Precisely, we give some conditions under which a p -bounded operator be p -demicompact. Also, a sufficient condition is given, under which each p -demicompact operator has a modulus which is p -demicompact. Further, we put in place some properties of this class of operators on lattice normed spaces.

1. Introduction

In 1936, lattice-normed spaces were first defined by L. Kantorovich in [18]. After that, the theory of lattice-normed spaces was studied and then well-developed by S. Kutateladze, and A. Kusraev. Many results from ergodic theory, probability theory have been extended to lattice-normed vector lattices (see for instance [9, 15, 16]). It should be noticed that the theory of lattice-normed spaces was always studied under the condition of decomposability of lattice norm in [7, 10, 22, 23]. In this paper, we develop a general approach to lattice-normed vector lattices without requiring decomposability of lattice norm. We recall that a vector lattice X equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be a normed lattice if $|x| \leq |y|$ in X implies $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$. If a normed lattice is norm complete, then it is called a Banach lattice.

Recently, based on the theory of Banach lattice and the class of demicompactness, H. Benkhaled et al. in [6] introduced the notion of order weakly demicompact operator. Note that, the class of demicompactness was used by W. V. Petryshyn in [25, 26] to construct and investigate the structure of fixed point sets for nonlinear operators acting on Hilbert and Banach spaces. Let us recall from [25] that an operator $T : D \subset X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be demicompact if every bounded sequence $(x_n)_n$ in D such that $(x_n - Tx_n)_n$ converges strongly, has a convergent subsequence. Further, several results focused on this

Received May 11, 2023; Accepted August 18, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A40, 46B40, 46B42.

Key words and phrases. p -demicompact, demicompact operator, Banach lattice, vector lattice, lattice-normed space, p -convergence.

class which contains compact operators [8, 19, 20, 25] and its important role in spectral theory (see [11, 13, 17]). Some other analyzes were related with the class of demicompact linear operators (see for instance [2, 12, 14, 21, 27]).

Motivated by demicompactness and related results on bounded linear operators acting on lattice spaces, we introduce in this paper the notion of p -demicompact operator. Then, we use the framework of the theory of lattice normed spaces to provide a systematic approach to the demicompactness criteria and generalize some results regarding the characterization of p -compact operators between lattice normed spaces, which was introduced by A. Aydın et al. in [4]. These operators generalize several known classes of operators such as compact, weakly compact and order weakly compact. Let us recall that, a linear operator T between two lattices normed spaces is said to be p -compact if, for any p -bounded net $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$, the net $(Tx_\alpha)_\alpha$ has a p -convergent subnet.

In what follows, we present some notations and recall some basic definitions that will be used in the sequel. Let $\leq$ be an order relation on a real vector space X . Then X is called an ordered vector space, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $x \leq y$ implies $x + z \leq y + z$ for all $z \in X$.
- (ii) $x \leq y$ implies $\lambda x \leq \lambda y$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

For an ordered vector space X we let $X_+ := \{x \in X : x \geq 0\}$. The subset X_+ is called the positive cone of X . For each x and y in an ordered vector space X we let $x \vee y := \sup\{x, y\}$ and $x \wedge y := \inf\{x, y\}$. If $x \in X_+$ and $x \neq 0$, then we write $x > 0$. A net $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in a vector lattice X is order convergent (or o -convergent for short) to $x \in X$, if there exists another net $(y_\beta)_\beta$ satisfying $y_\beta \downarrow 0$ and for any $\beta \in B$, there exists $\alpha_\beta \in A$ such that $|x_\alpha - x| \leq y_\beta$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_\beta$. In this case we write $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} x$. A vector $e > 0$ is called a strong unit in vector lattice E if, for every $x \in E$, there exists a positive number λ , depending on x , such that $|x| \leq \lambda e$.

Let X be a vector space, E be a vector lattice and $p : X \rightarrow E_+$ be a vector norm, i.e.,

- (i) $p(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$.
- (ii) $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$.
- (iii) $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then the triple (X, p, E) is called a lattice-normed-space abbreviated as LNS. The lattice norm p in an LNS (X, p, E) is said to be decomposable if for all $x \in X$ and $e_1, e_2 \in E_+$ it follows from $p(x) = e_1 + e_2$, that there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $p(x_k) = e_k$, $k = 1, 2$. If X is a vector lattice and the vector norm p is monotone ($|x| \leq |y| \implies p(x) \leq p(y)$), then the triple (X, p, E) is called a lattice-normed vector lattice abbreviated as LNVL. We abbreviate the convergence $p(x_\alpha - x) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ as $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} x$ and say that x_α p -converges to x .

In an LNS (X, p, E) a subset A of X is called p -bounded if there exists $e \in E$ such that $p(a) \leq e$ for all $a \in A$. An LNVL (X, p, E) is called op -continuous if $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$ implies that $p(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Consider LNSs (X, p, E) and (Y, m, F) .

A linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be dominated if there is a positive operator $S : E \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$m(T(x)) \leq S(p(x)) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

In this case, S is called a dominant for T . The set of all dominated operators from X to Y is denoted by $M(X, Y)$.

The sets $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $L^\sim(E, F)$ denote, respectively, the space of all linear operators between vector spaces X and Y , and the ordered vector spaces of all order bounded operators from E into F . Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$, where X and Y are normed spaces, is called a Dunford-Pettis operator if $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X implies that $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ in Y , here $\xrightarrow{w}$ denotes the weak convergence. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$, the range of T is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

A normed lattice $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called order continuous if a net $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ in X implies $\|x\| \downarrow 0$ or equivalently $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in X implies $\|x_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$. A normed lattice $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called σ -order continuous if a sequence $x_n \downarrow 0$ in X implies $\|x_n\| \downarrow 0$ or equivalently $x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in X implies $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Every order continuous normed lattice is σ -order continuous. A normed lattice $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a KB-space if for $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow$ and $\sup_\alpha \|x_\alpha\| < \infty$ we get that the net (x_α) is norm convergent. A positive vector $a \neq 0$ in a vector lattice X is called atom if, for any $x \in [0, a]$, there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x = \lambda a$.

Let a be an atom in a vector lattice X . The principal band B_a generated by a is a projection band, and $B_a = I_a = \text{span}\{a\} = \{\lambda a, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where I_a is the ideal generated by a . A vector lattice X is called atomic if the band generated by its atoms is X . If a vector lattice X is atomic, then for any $x > 0$, there is an atom a such that $a \leq x$. A Banach lattice E is said to be an AM-space if $x \wedge y = 0$ in E implies $\|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. It is known that, in an AM-space with strong unit, every norm bounded set is order bounded. For more details on lattice spaces, the reader can see ([1, 3, 5, 7, 22, 24]).

An outline of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce a new class of operators, called p -demicompact (Definition 2.1). Then, we use our new class to generalize some results regarding the characterizations of the operators p -compact. Furthermore, we give relations between demicompact operator on acting mixed norm and p -demicompact operators. Note also that under a sufficient condition, we show that each p -demicompact operator has a modulus which is p -demicompact (see Theorem 2.5). We end this paper by studying the relationship between polynomially p -demicompact and p -demicompact operators (see Theorem 2.6).

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let X, Y be two LNSs and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. T is called p -demicompact if, for every p -bounded net $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in X such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$, there exists a p -convergent subnet $(x_{\alpha_\beta})_\beta$.

In the next theorem, we show that if a net of p -demicompact dominated operators p -convergent to a dominated operator, then it is also p -demicompact.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, p, E) be a decomposable LNS and (Y, q, F) LNS such that F is order complete. Let $(T_m)_m$ be a sequence in $M(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T_m)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ are subsets of X . If each T_m is p -demicompact with $T_m \xrightarrow{p} T$ in $M(X, Y)$, then T is a p -demicompact operator.*

Proof. Let x_α be a p -bounded net in X such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since x_α is a p -bounded net in X , there is $e \in E_+$ such that $p(x_\alpha) \leq e$ for all α . Now, we can write

$$x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha = x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha + Tx_\alpha - Tx_\alpha,$$

therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha) &= q(x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha + Tx_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \\ &\leq q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) + q(T_m x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_m \in M(X, Y)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$q(T_m x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \leq |T_m - T|(p(x_\alpha)) \leq |T_m - T|(e).$$

Since $T_m \xrightarrow{p} T$ in $M(X, Y)$, by Theorem VIII.2.3 [28] it follows that $|T_m - T|(e) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in F as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by hypothesis, we have $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$, this implies that $q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, we deduce that $q(x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Thus, $x_\alpha - T_m x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, using the fact that T_m is p -demicompact, we infer that there exists a p -convergent subnet $(x_{\alpha\beta})_\beta$ of $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$. Consequently, T is p -demicompact. $\square$

In following two propositions, we have relations between demicompact operators on acting mixed norm and p -demicompact operators.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS, where $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a normed lattice and (Y, q, F) be an LNS, where $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is a Banach lattice. Let $T : (X, p, \|\cdot\|_E) \rightarrow (Y, q, \|\cdot\|_F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. If T is demicompact, then $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, q, F)$ is p -demicompact.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net in X such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since x_α is a p -bounded net in X , there is $e \in E$ such that $p(x_\alpha) \leq e$ for all α . So, $\|p(x_\alpha)\|_E \leq \|e\|_E < \infty$. Hence, x_α is norm bounded in $(X, p, \|\cdot\|_E)$. This allows us to get $q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y$ or $q\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_F \rightarrow y$. Since T is demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $q\|x_{\alpha\beta} - x\|_F \rightarrow 0$ or $\|q(x_{\alpha\beta} - x)\|_F \rightarrow 0$. Since $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is a Banach lattice, by Theorem VII.2.1 [28] there is a further subnet $x_{\alpha\beta_k}$ such that $q(x_{\alpha\beta_k} - x) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Therefore, $x_{\alpha\beta_k} \xrightarrow{p} x$. Consequently, T is a p -demicompact operator. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS, where $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit. Let (Y, q, F) be an LNS, where $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is an order*

continuous normed lattice. If $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is p -demicompact, then $T : (X, p, \|\cdot\|_E) \rightarrow (Y, q, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is demicompact.

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a normed bounded net in $(X, p, \|\cdot\|_E)$ that is $\|p(x_\alpha)\|_E \leq k < \infty$ for all α such that $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_F \rightarrow y$. Since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit, $p(x_\alpha)$ is order bounded in E . Thus x_α is a p -bounded net in (X, p, E) . Thus, we have $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, from the p -demicompactness of T , it follows that there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $x_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{p} x$, then $q(x_{\alpha\beta} - x) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in F . Since $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ is order continuous, $\|q(x_{\alpha\beta} - x)\|_F \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\|x_{\alpha\beta}\|_E \rightarrow x$. Consequently, T is a demicompact operator. $\square$

It is known that a finite rank operator is demicompact. Similarly, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (X, p, E) and (Y, q, F) be LNSs. Let $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. If T is a p -bounded finite rank operator, then T is p -demicompact.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that T is given by $Tx = f(x)y_0$ for some p -bounded functional $f : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{R})$ and $y_0 \in Y$. Let x_α be a p -bounded net in X such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since x_α is a p -bounded net in X , $f(x_\alpha)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. So there is a subnet $x_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $f(x_{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0) &= q(x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} + Tx_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0) \\ &\leq q(x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta}) + q(Tx_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} q(Tx_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0) &= q(f(x_{\alpha\beta})y_0 - \lambda y_0) \\ &= |f(x_{\alpha\beta}) - \lambda| q(y_0) \xrightarrow{o} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by hypothesis, we have $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$, this implies that $q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, we deduce that $q(x_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Consequently, $x_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda y_0 \xrightarrow{p} y$. Thus, T is p -demicompact. $\square$

Remark 2.1 ([4]). If X is an atomic KB -space, then every order bounded net has an order convergent subnet.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$ if and only if for any subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$, there is a further subsequence $(x_{n_{k_j}})_j$ such that $x_{n_{k_j}} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$.*

The following proposition gives information about when an order bounded operator is p -demicompact.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a vector lattice and (Y, q, F) be an op -continuous LNVL such that Y is an atomic KB-space. If $T \in \mathcal{L}^\sim(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$, then $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \rightarrow (Y, q, F)$ is p -demicompact.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$ such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since x_α be a p -bounded net in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$, x_α is order bounded in X . The fact that T is order bounded, allows us to get $(Tx_\alpha)_\alpha$ is order bounded in Y , which is an atomic KB-space, so from Remark 2.1 there are a subnet $x_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z \in Y$ such that $Tx_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{o} z$. Since (Y, q, F) is op -continuous, $q(Tx_{\alpha\beta} - z) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. By hypothesis, we have $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$, which implies that $q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha - y) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Now, we can write $x_{\alpha\beta} = x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} + Tx_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{\alpha\beta} - (y + z)) &= q(x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} - y + Tx_{\alpha\beta} - z) \\ &\leq q(x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} - y) + q(Tx_{\alpha\beta} - z) \xrightarrow{o} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that $q(x_{\alpha\beta} - (y + z)) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, $x_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{p} y + z$. Consequently, T is p -demicompact. $\square$

In the next proposition, under some conditions, we see that p -bounded operator is p -demicompact.

Proposition 2.5. *Let (X, p, E) and $(Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ be two LNVLS such that Y is an atomic KB-space. If $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is p -bounded, then T is p -demicompact.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$ such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. From the fact that T is p -bounded, it follows that Tx_α is order bounded in Y . Since Y is an atomic KB-space, by Remark 2.1, there is a subnet $x_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z \in Y$ such that $Tx_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{o} z$. Now, we have $x_{\alpha\beta} = x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} + Tx_{\alpha\beta}$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{\alpha\beta} - (y + z)| &= |x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} - y + Tx_{\alpha\beta} - z| \\ &\leq |x_{\alpha\beta} - Tx_{\alpha\beta} - y| + |Tx_{\alpha\beta} - z| \xrightarrow{o} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|x_{\alpha\beta}| \xrightarrow{o} y + z$. Thus, $x_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{p} y + z$. Consequently, T is p -demicompact. $\square$

In the next examples, we see that we can not omit the atomicity in Propositions 2.4 and 2.5.

Example 2.1. We consider the identity operator

$$I : (L_1[0; 1]; |\cdot|; L_1[0; 1]) \rightarrow (L_1[0; 1]; |\cdot|; L_1[0; 1]).$$

The sequence of Rademacher functions, that is the function $r_n : [0; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$r_n(t) = \text{sgn} \sin(2^n \pi t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0; 1],$$

is order bounded by 1 and has no order convergent subsequence. Indeed, let r_{nk} be a subsequence of r_n such that

$$r_{nk} \rightarrow f.$$

Then

$$r_{nk}(x) \rightarrow f(x) \text{ a.e. for each } x \in [0; 1].$$

But, for each $x \in [0; 1]$, there are infinitely many n 's such that $r_{nk}(x) = 1$ and infinitely many n 's such that $r_{nk}(x) = -1$. So, I is not p -demicompact.

Example 2.2. The identity operator $I : (l_1; |\cdot|; l_1) \rightarrow (l_1; |\cdot|; l_1)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.4, where $X = l_1$, $(Y; m; F) = (l_1; |\cdot|; l_1)$, $Y = l_1$, which is an atomic KB -space, because l_1 has no copy of c_0 (note that $x = (\frac{1}{n}) \in c_0$ but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, so $(\frac{1}{n}) \notin l_1$), and also $(l_1; |\cdot|; l_1)$ is op -continuous, so I is p -demicompact. This shows that the identity operator on an infinite dimensional space can be p -demicompact.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, p, E) be an LNS with $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be an order continuous Banach lattice. $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$ is p -demicompact if and only if T is order weakly demicompact.

Proof. Assume that T is p -demicompact. Let x_α be an order bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. We have $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$, since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach lattice, it follows that there is a subnet x_{α_β} such that $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E . From Theorem VII.2.1 [28], we get $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in (X, p, E) . Now, taking into account that T is p -demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Now, we can write $Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} = Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} + x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}) &= p(Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} + x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}) \\ &\leq p(Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}) + p(x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that $p(Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}) \rightarrow 0$. So, $Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is order continuous, we obtain that $\|Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we get $\|Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Further, we have $x_\alpha = x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha + Tx_\alpha$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\alpha\|_E &= \|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha + Tx_\alpha\|_E \\ &\leq \|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E + \|Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that $\|x_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, T is order weakly demicompact. To prove the converse. Assume that T is order weakly demicompact. Let x_α be a p -bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in (X, p, E) . This implies that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E . Since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is an order continuous Banach lattice, $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Now, from the fact that T is order weakly demicompact, we get $\|x_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach lattice, there is a subnet x_{α_β} such that $x_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E and so $x_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in (X, p, E) . $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS with $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach lattice. Let $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$ such that T is Dunford-Pettis. If T is p -demicompact, then T is order weakly demicompact.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be an order bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. We have $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$, since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach lattice, there is a subnet x_{α_β} such that $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E . So, we get $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in (X, p, E) . Now, since T is p -demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Since $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and T is Dunford-Pettis, we obtain $\|Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$, so we have $\|Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Now, we can write $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} = x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} + Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E &= \|x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} + Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \\ &\leq \|x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} - Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E + \|Tx_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that $\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Now, applying Lemma 2.1, we infer that $\|x_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, T is order weakly demicompact. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS with $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is order continuous. Let $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$. If T is order weakly demicompact, then T is p -demicompact.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net, then order bounded in E such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$. We have $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E . Since $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is order continuous, we get $\|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Now, from the fact that T is order weakly demicompact, we obtain that $\|x_\alpha\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Thus, using Lemma 2.1, there is further subnet such that $\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we deduce that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Consequently, T is p -demicompact. $\square$

A linear mapping $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two vector lattices is called disjointness preserving if $|T(x)| \wedge |T(y)| = 0$ for all $x, y \in E$ satisfying $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$. Now, we give a sufficient condition under which each p -demicompact operator has a modulus which is p -demicompact.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, p, E) and (Y, q, F) be LNSs. Let $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ be p -demicompact. Then the modulus of T is p -demicompact if T is a p -bounded disjointness preserving operator.*

Proof. T is a p -bounded disjointness preserving operator, so it is an order disjointness preserving operator, then a theorem of Meyer Nieberg ([24], Theorem 3.1.4) implies that $|T|$ exists and that $|T|(x) = |T(x)|$ for all $x \in E^+$. Now, let x_α be a p -bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha - |T|x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. This implies that $x_\alpha - |Tx_\alpha| \xrightarrow{p} y$. Hence, $q(x_\alpha - |Tx_\alpha|) \xrightarrow{o} y$.

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) &= q(|x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha|) \\ &\leq q(x_\alpha - |T|x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that $q(x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since T is p -demicompact, it follows that there is a p -convergent subnet $(x_{\alpha_\beta})_\beta$ of $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$. Consequently, $|T|$ is a p -demicompact operator. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS and $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$. Then, T^m is p -demicompact for some $m \geq 1$ if and only if T is p -demicompact.*

Proof. We assume that the assumption holds and let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, we have the following equality

$$I - T^m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^j(I - T).$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$(I - T^m)x_\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^j(I - T)x_\alpha.$$

From hypothesis, we have that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$, and this implies that $(I - T^m)x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} z$. Now, using the fact that T^m is p -demicompact, we infer that there exists a p -convergent subnet $(x_{\alpha_\beta})_\beta$ of $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$. Consequently, we deduce that T is a p -demicompact operator. The converse is similarly. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Let (X, p, E) be an LNS and $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$ be a p -demicompact operator. If $S : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$ is p -compact, then $T + S$ is a p -demicompact operator.*

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a p -bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha - (T + S)x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Using the fact that S is p -compact, it follows that there is a subnet x_{α_β} such that $Sx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} z$. Thus, from hypothesis, we obtain that $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} y + z$. Now, since T is p -demicompact, we infer that there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_k}}$ of x_{α_β} p -convergent. Consequently, $T + S$ is a p -demicompact operator. $\square$

Remark 2.2. (i) Every p -compact operator $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (X, p, E)$ is p -demicompact. Indeed, let x_α be a p -bounded net in E such that $x_\alpha - Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since T is p -compact, it follows that there exists a subnet x_{α_β} such that $Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} z$. Thus, we get $x_{\alpha_\beta} - Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} y$, which implies that $x_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{p} y + z$. Consequently, T is p -demicompact.

(ii) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R})$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ be normed spaces. Then

$$T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$$

such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is p -demicompact if and only if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is demicom-
pact.

References

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich and C. D. Aliprantis, *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/050>
- [2] W. Y. Akashi, *On the perturbation theory for Fredholm operators*, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), no. 3, 603–612. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ojm/1200777440>
- [3] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, reprint of the 1985 original, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5008-4>
- [4] A. Aydın, E. Emelyanov, N. Erkuşun Özcan, and M. Marabeh, *Compact-like operators in lattice-normed spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **29** (2018), no. 2, 633–656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2017.11.002>
- [5] A. Aydın, E. Emelyanov, N. Erkuşun-Özcan, and M. Marabeh, *Unbounded p -convergence in lattice-normed vector lattices*, Siberian Adv. Math. **29** (2019), no. 3, 164–182. <https://doi.org/10.3103/s1055134419030027>
- [6] H. Benkhaled, A. Elleuch, and A. Jeribi, *The class of order weakly demicompact operators*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), no. 2, Paper No. 76, 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-020-00808-4>
- [7] A. V. Bukhvalov, A. E. Gutman, V. B. Korotkov, A. G. Kusraev, S. S. Kutateladze, and B. M. Makarov, *Vector lattices and integral operators*, translated from the 1992 Russian original, Mathematics and its Applications, 358, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [8] W. Chaker, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, *Demicompact linear operators, essential spectrum and some perturbation results*, Math. Nachr. **288** (2015), no. 13, 1476–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201200007>
- [9] V. I. Chilin and I. G. Ganiev, *An individual ergodic theorem for contractions in the Banach-Kantorovich lattice $L_p(\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\mu})$* , Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) **44** (2000), no. 7, 77–79; translated from Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **2000** (2000), no. 7, 81–83.
- [10] E. Emelyanov, *Infinitesimal analysis and vector lattices*, Siberian Adv. Math. (1996), 19–70.
- [11] I. Ferjani, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, *Spectral properties involving generalized weakly demicompact operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. **16** (2019), no. 30, 21 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-019-1320-3>
- [12] I. Ferjani, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, *On relative essential spectra of a 3×3 operator matrix involving relative generalized weak demicompactness*, Filomat **34** (2020), no. 13, 4271–4286. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil2013271f>
- [13] I. Ferjani, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, *Generalized weak demicompactness involving measure of non-strict-singularity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (2021), no. 2, 529–541. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-021-00055-2>
- [14] I. Ferjani, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, *Spectral properties for generalized weakly S -demicompact operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **70** (2022), no. 4, 606–624. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1737633>
- [15] I. G. Ganiev, *A characterization of conditional expectation operators in the Banach-Kantorovich L_p -lattice*, Int. J. Funct. Anal. Oper. Theory Appl. **6** (2014), no. 1, 55–72.
- [16] I. G. Ganiev and F. M. Mukhamedov, *On the “zero-two” law for positive contractions in the Banach-Kantorovich lattice $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **47** (2006), no. 3, 427–436.
- [17] A. Jeribi, *Spectral Theory and Applications of Linear Operators and Block Operator Matrices*, Springer, Cham, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17566-9>
- [18] L. V. Kantorovich, *On a class of functional equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. (1936), 211–216.

- [19] B. Krichen, *Relative essential spectra involving relative demicompact unbounded linear operators*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) **34** (2014), no. 2, 546–556. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(14\)60027-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60027-8)
- [20] B. Krichen and D. O'Regan, *On the class of relatively weakly demicompact nonlinear operators*, Fixed Point Theory **19** (2018), no. 2, 625–630.
- [21] B. Krichen and D. O'Regan, *Weakly demicompact linear operators and axiomatic measures of weak noncompactness*, Math. Slovaca **69** (2019), no. 6, 1403–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2017-0317>
- [22] A. G. Kusraev, *Dominated operators*, translated from the 1999 Russian original by the author, translation edited and with a foreword by S. Kutateladze, Mathematics and its Applications, 519, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9349-6>
- [23] A. G. Kusraev and S. S. Kutateladze, *Boolean valued analysis*, translated from the 1999 Russian original by Kutateladze and revised by the authors, Mathematics and its Applications, 494, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4443-8>
- [24] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Springer, Berlin, 1991. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-76724-1>
- [25] W. V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of demicompact mappings in Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **14** (1966), 276–284. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(66\)90027-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(66)90027-8)
- [26] W. V. Petryshyn, *Structure of the fixed points sets of k -set-contractions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **40** (1970/71), 312–328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00252680>
- [27] W. V. Petryshyn, *Remarks on condensing and k -set-contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **39** (1972), 717–741. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(72\)90194-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(72)90194-1)
- [28] B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces*, translated from the Russian by Leo F. Boron, with the editorial collaboration of Adriaan C. Zaanen and Kiyoshi Iséki, Wolters-Noordhoff Scientific Publications, Ltd., Groningen, 1967.

IMEN FERJANI
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF SFAX
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX
 ROAD SOUKRA KM 3.5, B.P. 1171, 3000, SFAX TUNISIA
 Email address: imenofejani@yahoo.fr

BILEL KRICHEN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF SFAX
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX
 ROAD SOUKRA KM 3.5, B.P. 1171, 3000, SFAX TUNISIA
 Email address: bilel.krichen@fss.usf.tn